

# SNOHOMISH COUNTY AIRPORT QUARTERLY NOISE SUMMARY

PAINE FIELD 3220 100TH ST SW, EVERETT, WA 98204

*January—March 2009*

## INTRODUCTION TO THE SNOHOMISH COUNTY AIRPORT NOISE MONITORING SYSTEM

This report summarizes noise monitoring data collected in the first quarter of 2009 (January -March) at Snohomish County Airport-Paine Field. It includes comparisons with data collected in previous years where possible. The noise monitoring system produces a tremendous amount of data in a variety of formats.

The Snohomish County Airport Noise Monitoring System includes a digital voice recorder, three semi-permanent noise monitors, and one mobile noise monitor, CCTV cameras and a private subscription landing report. Figure E on Page 4 shows the location of the semi-permanent monitors.

Monitor One is located 9500 feet north of the airport in Mukilteo. Monitor Two is located 6500 feet west of the airport in Harbour Pointe. Monitor Three is located 7600 feet south of the threshold of 34L near Lake Serene. Monitor Four, the mobile monitor, is mounted in the airport's noise monitoring trailer and is used for spot monitoring throughout the community.

Figures A, B, and C present noise calls received from residents during the first quarter 2006 – 2009. Figure A presents the correlation between flight operations and flight related noise calls, figure B presents the correlation between engine testing and engine testing related noise calls and figure C presents the comparison of noise calls by aircraft type.

## NOISE MEASUREMENTS

Noise is measured in decibels (dB) which is a logarithmic expression of sound pressure level. All data collected by the Airport's Noise Monitors are "A" weighted to more closely reflect the way people hear sounds. "A" weighting, written as dBA, discriminates against sounds that the human ear is less sensitive to which are sounds below 1,000 hertz (cycles per second) and above 6,000 hertz.

An extensive discussion of noise metrics and computer noise analysis can be found in Chapter C of the 1995 Paine Field FAR Part 150 Noise Study. This is available at local public libraries, online at [www.painefield.com](http://www.painefield.com), or from the Airport Office.

The most common noise measurements used by Paine Field are sound exposure levels (SEL) and cumulative noise levels.

### Sound Exposure Level (SEL)

Noise levels generated by aircraft operations are expressed as Sound Exposure Level (SEL) events. The "A"-weighted SEL value represents the total sound level over a background noise threshold, thereby separating aircraft noise events from other noises. SEL accounts for the intensity and duration of the sound of each single event.

Figure D presents average Sound Exposure Levels measured for arrivals and departures of 7 aircraft models at Monitors One, and Three. These figures are averaged from historical one second noise levels. Due to the logarithmic nature of the noise weighting high level noise events influence the daily average significantly. Additional information on Day/Night noise level measurements can be found at the airport operation office.

### Cumulative Noise Levels


Cumulative noise levels include noise from various sources, including wind, animals, automobiles, and aircraft. The Airport's Noise Monitoring System computer analyzes the characteristics of each single event noise recorded. It distinguishes between aircraft and community noises and labels them accordingly. "A" weighted Day-Night Sound Level (DNL) is the standard sound metric used by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the FAA for determining cumulative noise exposure around airports. The DNL metric adds a 10 dBA penalty to all noises recorded between the hours of 10:00 PM and 7:00 AM to reflect the greater sensitivity individuals have to noise while sleeping. The DNL data generated by the noise monitoring equipment can be compared to annual DNL noise contours generated in the Part 150 Noise Study Update.

# FIRST QUARTER AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS AND NOISE CALLS


The Airport received 249 noise calls during the first quarter of 2009. 245 calls were concerns regarding flight operations. During this period, the FAA Air Traffic Control Tower counted 22,948 operations. Figure A correlates total noise calls generated by flight operations with total flight operations in the first quarters of 2006–2009. Figure C presents a comparison of the total number of noise calls regarding flight operations by particular aircraft type.

Figure B compares the number of noise calls on engine testing with total engine tests conducted during the same period. Table One on page 4 indexes the number of noise calls received from each Paine Field Community Council subquadrant during the first quarters of 2006–2009. The Community Council subquadrants are shown graphically on Figure E. Specific boundary delineation information is available at the Airport Office.

**Figure A: Correlation between flight operations and flight related noise calls 1st Quarter 2006-2009.**



**Figure B: Correlation between engine testing and engine testing related noise calls 1st Quarter 2006-2009.**



Note: The Figure C summary has been reformatted to portray a more detailed picture of aircraft categories. Figure C1 now contains the Category for Civil Aircraft. Figure C2 shows the Summary for Military Flight Operations by type.

Figure C (1): Summary of 1st quarter 2009 Noise Calls for Civil Aircraft Operations by Aircraft Type




Figure C (2): Summary of 1st quarter 2009 Noise Calls for Military Aircraft Operations by Aircraft Type





Figure D: Average Sound Exposure Levels (SEL)



# NOISE CALLS – FIRST QUARTER 2006-2009

Table One: Noise Calls by Paine Field Community Council Subquadrants - First quarter 2006-2009

Year	NW1	NW2	NW3	NW4	NE1	NE2	NE3	NE4	SW1	SW2	SW3	SW4	SE1	SE2	SE3	SE4	Unk/Other	Total
2006	33	2	11	74	22	0	0	1	1	1	6	3	7	0	13	14	3	191
2007	16	2	1	57	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	1	8	26	5	126
2008	35	0	8	61	9	0	0	0	5	7	3	0	7	1	3	81	6	226
2009	18	2	8	88	14	0	1	2	2	3	7	1	19*	1	7	67**	9	249

Reported numbers may differ slightly from the monthly reports due to corrections made to database after reports are published

\*73% of the calls from this quadrant were placed by 1 individual.

\*\* 93% of the calls from this quadrant were placed by 2 individuals.

## JANUARY—MARCH 2009 NOISE CALLS BY NOISE QUADRANT

The graphic on the right depicts Paine Field’s 16 noise quadrants and the locations of the three noise monitors. The black numbers within each quadrant represent how many calls were made from that quadrant in the first quarter of 2009. “Unknown” represents calls where the caller did not identify the location. “Other” represents calls from outside the quadrants.




Figure E: Paine Field Community Council Subquadrants